

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
12 AT TACOMA

13 PATRICK DRUM,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 HAROLD CLARKE,

17 Defendant.

18 Case No. C06-5360 RBL/KLS

19 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
20 MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

21 On July 6, 2006, the court denied plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel. (Dkt. # 3).
22 The court's order was based on plaintiff's ability to articulate his claims and the lack of exceptional
23 circumstances warranting appointment of counsel. While plaintiff may not have vast resources or
24 legal training, he meets the threshold for a *pro se* litigant. Plaintiff argues that the appointment of
25 counsel is warranted so that this matter may be litigated on behalf of other inmates "being assaulted
26 in some cases and security tapes being disposed of in said cases." By separate order to show cause
(Dkt. # 9), plaintiff has been advised that he cannot act on behalf of others, but must bring his action
on his own behalf and must plead specific conduct that has been committed by a person or persons
acting under color of state law that deprived him of a right, privilege or immunity secured by the
Constitution in order to properly plead a Section 1983 claim in this court. Plaintiff must do so by

27
28 ORDER - 1

1 August 31, 2006 or face dismissal of this action.

2 After carefully reviewing the motion for reconsideration and the record, the court ORDERS
3 as follows:

4 (1) Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 8) is **DENIED**. Motions for
5 reconsideration are disfavored and will ordinarily be denied in the absence of a showing of manifest
6 error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been
7 brought to the court's attention earlier with reasonable diligence. Local Rule CR 7(h)(1). Here,
8 plaintiff has identified no error in the court's order, nor presented any new facts or legal authority not
9 already considered by the court that suggest reconsideration is appropriate. Plaintiff's motion is
10 therefore denied.

11 (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff and to the Honorable
12 Ronald B. Leighton.

13
14 DATED this 19th day of July, 2006.



15
16 Karen L. Strombom
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER - 2